Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/899,645	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell Kallis	1638	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) Russell Kallis.	(3)		
(2) <u>David Saravitz</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 February 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: all			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER		DISCUSSED:	
An examiner's amendment was proposed to bring the claims into	condition for allowance		
Part III.	•		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
Punel Kallip			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)	